IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of Reg				
Registered on the Pri	incipal Register on	reoruary	4, 2003, in International Class 7	
CATERPILLAR INC.,)		TTAB
)	·	
	Petitioner,)		
v.)	Cancellation No. 92041776	
)		
PAVE TECH, INC.,)		
)		
	Registrant.)		
Service, "Express	at on O/C-17/O-S this of Mail Post Office to Addre Patent and Trademark Office 12313-1451.	corresponden essee" service fice, Tradema corress Mail m	PRESS MAILING ce is being deposited with the United States Postal under 37 C.F.R. §2.198 in an envelope addressed rk Trial and Appeal Board, P.O. Box 1451, which is a purposer; FV 619070710 US alking number: FV 619070710 US	

REGISTRANT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TESTIMONY PERIODS

On June 6, 2005, Petitioner filed a Motion to request a 45-day extension of the testimony period in the above-identified Cancellation action "to allow the preparation for deposition of its testimony witness, Mr. Kurt Tisdale, and the taking of his deposition." Petitioner's Motion at 1. Registrant hereby opposes this Motion, and responds as follows.

The above-identified Cancellation action has been pending since March 22, 2003. By a consented motion of the parties, the present testimony periods in the above-identified action were set by the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board on March 8, 2005. These testimony periods allowed Petitioner until June 13, 2005 to submit testimony materials in connection with this case. Accordingly, Petitioner has had adequate time to prepare for taking testimony depositions, and has specifically had over three (3) months' notice of its deadline to prepare its witnesses for deposition, take any appropriate depositions and/or submit any appropriate Notices of Reliance.



Petitioner now asserts, just days before the close of its testimony period, that it needs

additional time to prepare its witness for deposition and submit Notices of Reliance. The basis

for this extension request appears to be that Petitioner's witness is a very busy man.

Registrant wholly rejects the bases Petitioner relies upon in asking the Board to delay this

matter further, and asserts that Petitioner has been negligent in failing to take its testimony

deposition(s) or submitting any Notices of Reliance. As Petitioner has had months to prepare for

its testimony period, busy business schedules do not qualify as good cause for extensions of

time. Not only did Petitioner have adequate time to prepare for and take testimony deposition(s),

but Petitioner has not established why, in a company of its size, there is only one possible

witness, Mr. Kurt Tisdale, who can provide relevant testimony on its behalf.

Even if Mr. Tisdale's busy travel itinerary qualifies as good cause for extending the time

to take his testimony deposition, Petitioner has failed to provide any justification for its failure to

submit Notices of Reliance within the designated testimony period.

Accordingly, Registrant respectfully requests that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of

Testimony Periods be denied, and Petitioner be prevented from submitting any untimely

materials. In the alternative, if the Board determines that Petitioner has provided good cause for

an extension as to the testimony of Mr. Tisdale, Registrant respectfully requests that Petitioner's

Motion be denied in part, as to the further submission of any Notices of Reliance.

Respectfully submitted,

PAVE TECH, INC.

By its attorneys,

Dated: June 24, 2005

Rebecca Jo Bishop (MN Bar No. 298/165)

Karen D. McDaniel (MN Bar No. 194,554)

ALTERA LAW GROUP

6500 City West Parkway Suite 100 Eden Prairie, MN 55344 Telephone: (952) 253-4100

Fax: (952) 912-0574

Michael J. O'Loughlin (MN Bar No. 81,607) MICHAEL J. O'LOUGHLIN & ASSOC, P.A. 400 South 4th Street 1012 Grain Exchange Building Minneapolis, MN 55415 Telephone: (612) 342-0351

Fax: (612) 342-2399

,

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Registered on the	Principal Register on	February	4, 2003, in International Class 7
CATERPILLAR INC.,)	
	Petitioner,)))	Cancellation No. 92041776
v.)	
PAVE TECH, IN	C.,)	
	Registrant.		

In the Matter of Registration No. 2,684,138: PAVERCAT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 24, 2005, a true and complete copy of the foregoing REGISTRANT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TESTIMONY PERIODS was served by mailing a copy via First Class Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Edward G. Wierzbicki, and Mary E. Innis Loeb & Loeb, LLP 321 North Clark Street Suite 2300 Chicago, Illinois 60610

Date: June 24, 2005

Michele M. Jabs